

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,510	04/19/2004	Paul Bale	03936- P0006A	3460
24126	7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC			ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE	
	FORD STREET DRD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ora,	2. 00,000		3661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/827,510	BALE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 f This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown to be shown that are shown in the shown that are shown that are shown in the shown that are shown in the shown that are shown in the shown that are shown that are shown in the shown that are shown that a	cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) objected to by the defended or by the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/07/06. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19, 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Retter et al. (U.S. Pub No. 20010020281) in view of Kanehisa (U.S. Pub 20010019980).

As to claims 1-19, 21-30, Reeter et al. disclose a control system for a vehicle comprising a first electronically controlled vehicle system (first control unit); a second electronically controlled vehicle system (second control unit) (See abstract); and a control unit (controller; see paragraph 0005 wherein the controller is considered as the main controller for controlling the operation of the first and second control unit) which controls operation of at least one component of the first electronically controlled vehicle system. Reeter et al. disclose programming unit (See paragraph 0036) and also discloses a memory 5 as shown in the figure for storing. However, Reeter et al. fail to specifically disclose that such control is while the vehicle is moving and while the vehicle is stationary, and which controls operation of at least one component of the second electronically controlled vehicle system only while the vehicle is stationary. In an analogous art, Kanehisa disclose a control system for a vehicle wherein it discloses the

Art Unit: 3661

vehicle is stationary and a controller (control system) controls operation whether the vehicle is stationary or moving (See paragraphs 0061, 0086); also Kanehia discloses electronic brake system and input means (See abstract; paragraphs 0078, 0079). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Retter et al. with that of Kanehisa in order to control the vehicle devices.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19, 21-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mueller et al. (U.S. Pub No. 20020194551)

Ozeki (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,627)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GAJ

GAJ

May 24, 2006

ERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDE PRIMARY EXAMINER